

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,966	06/09/2004	Sanjeev S. Moghe	22188/06636	3965
24024 75	590 07/27/2006		EXAMINER	
CALFEE HALTER & GRISWOLD, LLP			SCHNEIDER, CRAIG M	
800 SUPERIOR AVENUE SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAND,	OH 44114	3753		

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/709,966	MOGHE, SANJEEV S.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Craig M. Schneider	3753		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the specified above, the specified above above the specifi	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	 I. hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). 		
Status				
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Jules</u> 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of t	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 6/9/2004 is/are: a) ☐ a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	nccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/9,11/18,12/16/04. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/709,966 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed 12/16/04 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. The examiner was able to find the International Search Report via a copy of the WIPO document WO 2004/111524 A1.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informality: "claim 8" should be changed to --claim 12--. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claim 20 is objected to because of the following informality: "wherein comprising" should be changed to --wherein the main body further comprises--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-5, 8-15, and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Applicant's admission of prior art in Figure 1.

Art Unit: 3753

Applicant's admission of prior art in Figure 1 discloses a steam trap mount (40) comprising a main body adapted to have a steam trap mounted thereon; at least one valve mounting flange (16 and 28) integral with the main body, the valve mounting flange adapted to have a valve mounted thereon and wherein the valve mounting flange forms part of a valve when assembled thereto (paragraphs 5-9 of the specification).

6. Claims 1-5, 8-11 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Farquhar (5,546,976).

Farquhar discloses a steam trap mount comprising a main body (60) adapted to have a steam trap mounted thereon; at least one valve mounting flange (18) integral with the main body, the valve mounting flange adapted to have a valve mounted thereon and wherein the valve mounting flange forms part of a valve when assembled thereto as seen in Figure 2 (col. 3, lines 3-25).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 6-7, 16-17, and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over applicant's admission of prior art.

The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. The single casting is a process that is being used to further describe the product. If the

Art Unit: 3753

product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product in the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process (see MPEP 2113).

9. Claims 6-7, 16-17 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's prior art in view of Kauer, Jr. (3,100,504).

Applicant's admission of prior art discloses all the features of the claimed invention except that the main body and the flanges comprise a single casting. Kauer, Jr. discloses the use of a single body (52) that connects two valves (12 and 14) together with a pressure gauge in between as seen in Figure 1 (col. 1, line 53 to col. 2, line 11).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the one piece housing of Kauer, Jr. that connects two valves and has a intermediate device housed within it onto the valve/steam trap assembly of applicant's admission of prior art, in order to simplify the manufacturing process.

The examiner is taking official notice that the use of cast metal in a casting process is old and well known in the art and would be an obvious choice of material to be used to manufacture the one piece housing of the steam trap/flange assembly.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Zinniger (3,016,062), Berchem (5,271,427), and Lomax (6,220,290) all disclose ball valves that have additional structure that hold the balls and seats in place.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,966 Page 5

Art Unit: 3753

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Craig M. Schneider whose telephone number is (571) 272-3607. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 -5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Keasel can be reached on (571) 272-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CMS とかり July 21, 2006

ERIC KEASEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700